

PED-PD-29-2018, Attachment 2



MEMO

| SUBJECT: | Haldimand County Caledonia East-West Road Planning to the Southern Urban Boundary |
|----------|---|
| DATE: | June 6, 2018 |
| FROM: | Stephen Keen, P.Eng. |
| TO: | Mike Evers, MCIP, RPP, BES |

1. INTRODUCTION

CIMA was retained by Haldimand County to review potential options for an east-west connector at the south end of Caledonia. There are a number of subdivision plans moving forward just within the urban boundary including the McKenzie Subdivision which is draft approved and the Beattie Estates Subdivision at the east end which has a current layout under consideration. The proposed connector will serve the proposed developments within the urban boundary and provide access to downtown via McKenzie Road and Argyle Street. However, the main role of this road is to provide a good east-west connection to Highway 6 thereby encouraging commuters to by-pass the centre of Caledonia.

2. ALTERNATIVE ROUTES

Two main options for the east-west connector were examined:

- A new collector (Route A) that is contained within the Mackenzie and Beattie Estates subdivisions
- A new arterial road (Route B) extending eastwards from Argyle Street along the southern boundary of the Beattie Estates subdivision and urban boundary.

Within the Route A option, 3 collector road sub-options have been developed:

- Collector Road Alternative A1
- Collector Road Alternative A2
- Collector Road Alternative A3

The alternative routes are provided in Appendix A.

3027 Harvester Road, Suite 400 Burlington ON L7N 3G7 CANADA Phone: 289-288-0287 Fax: 289-288-0285 www.cima.ca

P:/Project/C11-08/B000900_Haldimand County_Caledonia EW route/080_REPORTS/B900_Haldimand County Caledonia EW Route_Evaluation Memo_e03v01.docx

3. ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The connector road alternatives were assessed and evaluated based on the following criteria:

Transportation

- Traffic Operations at Intersections and Level of Service
- Travel Time Savings from Beattie Subdivision to Argyle Street and Highway 6 (via Highway 6 rather than via Argyle Street)
- Number of Driveways Along Route
- Number of Intersections Along Route
- Network Connectivity

Natural and Social Environment

- Natural Environment
- Impacts on Existing Properties

Engineering

• Cost and Cost Sharing

Development

- Compatibility with Urban Boundary
- Compatibility with Subdivision Plans
- Ability to Serve Intended Purpose

4. ASSESSMENT OF ALTERNATIVE ROUTES

4.1 TRANSPORTATION ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

4.1.1 INTERSECTION CONFIGUATION

A traffic operations review was conducted for each alternative using Synchro to evaluate intersection operations (Appendix B). Under future conditions, the intersections of the new road with Argyle Street and McKenzie Road were modelled as signalized.

Based on our assessment, the intersection of the new road with McKenzie Road operates well as a two-way stop controlled intersection, a roundabout or signalized (LOS A). If signalized, the intersection would operate similarly to the stop-controlled configuration with a slight improvement in delay for vehicles attempting to turn left onto McKenzie Road.

Although the two-way stop control intersection operates at an acceptable level, this intersection configuration may encourage westbound drivers coming from the Beattie Estates subdivision to turn right (northbound) as it is easier and presents less delay than waiting for gaps in traffic to proceed westbound through the McKenzie subdivision. In order



to encourage drivers to continue west rather than north, a roundabout or traffic signal may be more suitable to minimize westbound delay.

Given the lack of a need to provide a traffic signal based on traffic operations, this intersection presents a paradoxical situation. The life-cycle costs of a traffic signal would be cheaper however the upfront capital costs are greater for a roundabout. Generally, a roundabout is favoured in order to reduce delay at the intersection given the choice of these two options. The type of intersection will be confirmed during the EA planning for this new road.

4.1.2 TRAVEL TIME

The travel time from a consistent point within Beattie Estates subdivision to the intersection of Argyle Street and Highway 6 was also considered as a factor in the evaluation. The travel time to the intersection of Argyle Street and Highway 6 was assessed via two different routes (Figure 1):

- Route 1: Via Argyle Street
- Route 2: Via Highway 6 (Caledonia Bypass)

A travel time assessment was conducted for both routes based on distance, travel speed and intersection delay. The analysis was conducted in the following steps:

- Travel corridor was selected from Beattie Estates to the intersection of Argyle Street and Highway 6.
- Operating speed was assumed to be 60 km/h on collector roads, 80 km/h on the arterial road and 40km/h on the collector road through the development areas adjacent to residential driveways.
- Travel speed was adjusted based on the number of driveways¹ (where applicable);
- Intersection delay was analyzed by Synchro, and applied to the total travel time.



¹ Transportation Research Board NCHRP Report 420: Impacts of Access Management Techniques, Table 34 Access point density adjustment factors. Retrieved from

https://books.google.ca/books?id=z64qi86p2kcC&pg=PA41&lpg=PA41&dq=effect+of+multiple+driveways+on+t ravel+speed&source=bl&ots=cRMeUiChkJ&sig=cSwvgrSqKZOUWMxo5IANXeEKfjM&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahU KEwjvusbx5rTaAhUSPN8KHfoqBowQ6AEIJzAA#v=onepage&q=effect%20of%20multiple%20driveways%20on %20travel%20speed&f=false



Figure 1: Travel Time Routes

It was assumed that in development areas with frequent access control and intersections, travel speed would be reduced due to the delay waiting for vehicles to complete their movements.

The travel time comparison between Route 1 Argyle Street and Route 2 Highway 6 for each alternative is summarized in the following tables.



| Table 1: Alternative A1 Travel Time | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|--|--|
| Route 1 – Via Argyle Street | | | | | |
| AM PM | | | | | |
| NB | SB | NB | SB | | |
| 12:15 | 11:18 | 12:02 | 11:44 | | |
| | Route 2 – Via Highway 6 | | | | |
| AM PM | | | | | |
| NB | SB | NB | SB | | |
| 12:09 | 11:14 | 11:58 | 10:58 | | |

(minutes: seconds)

Table 2: Alternative A2 Travel Time

| Route 1 – Via Argyle Street | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|--|
| Α | М | P | М | |
| NB | SB | NB | SB | |
| 11:31 | 10:33 | 11:07 | 10:52 | |
| | Route 2 – Via Highway 6 | | | |
| AM PM | | | | |
| NB | SB | NB | SB | |
| 10:33 | 9:50 | 10:14 | 9:20 | |
| | | | | |

(minutes: seconds)

Table 3: Alternative A3 Travel Time

| Route 1 – Via Argyle Street | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|--|
| Α | М | PI | Μ | |
| NB | SB | NB | SB | |
| 12:02 | 11:08 | 11:48 | 11:35 | |
| | Route 2 – Via Highway 6 | | | |
| A | AM PM | | | |
| NB | SB | NB | SB | |
| 11:11 | 10:39 | 11:02 | 10:10 | |

(minutes: seconds)



| Table 4: Alternative B Travel Time | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Route 1 – Via Argyle Street | | | | |
| AM PM | | | | |
| NB | SB | NB | SB | |
| 11:04 | 10:06 | 10:28 | 10:07 | |
| Route 2 – Via Highway 6 | | | | |
| AM PM | | | | |
| NB | SB | NB | SB | |
| 9:44 | 8:55 | 9:22 | 8:28 | |
| | | | | |

(minutes: seconds)

Based on the assessment, it can be concluded that travel time from Beattie Estates to the intersection of Argyle Street and Highway 6 is shorter via the Highway 6 bypass for all alternatives.

As noted above, travel time was adjusted based on the number of driveways accesses along the route. The travel time analysis assumed 30 driveways within Beattie Estates. If the developer were to increase the number of driveway accesses within Beattie Estates along the route to (for example) 50,travel time for each option would increase as summarized in the following tables (typically 10 - 20 seconds).

| Table J. | Table 5. Alternative AT Travel Time with increase in Driveways | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|-------|-------|--|--|
| | Route 1 – Via Argyle Street | | | | |
| AM PM | | | | | |
| NB | SB | NB | SB | | |
| 12:23 | 11:36 | 12:20 | 12:02 | | |
| Route 2 – Via Highway 6 | | | | | |
| AM PM | | | | | |
| NB | SB | NB | SB | | |
| 12:21 | 11:33 | 12:17 | 11:12 | | |

Table 5: Alternative A1 Travel Time with Increase in Driveways

(minutes: seconds)



 Table 6: Alternative A2 Travel Time with Increase in Driveways

| Route 1 – Via Argyle Street | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|--|--|
| Α | М | PI | М | | |
| NB | SB | NB | SB | | |
| 11:37 | 10:39 | 11:13 | 10:58 | | |
| | Route 2 – Via Highway 6 | | | | |
| AM PM | | | | | |
| NB | SB | NB | SB | | |
| 10:39 | 9:56 | 10:20 | 9:26 | | |

(minutes: seconds)

| Table 7: Alternative A3 Travel Time with Increase in Driveways |
|--|
|--|

| Route 1 – Via Argyle Street | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|--|
| AM | | PI | И | |
| NB | SB | NB | SB | |
| 12:20 | 11:26 | 12:06 | 11:53 | |
| | Route 2 – Via Highway 6 | | | |
| AM PM | | | | |
| NB | SB | NB | SB | |
| 11:29 | 10:57 | 11:20 | 10:28 | |
| 11.23 | 10.07 | 11.20 | 10.20 | |

(minutes: seconds)

Table 8: Alternative B Travel Time with Increase in Driveways

| Route 1 – Via Argyle Street | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|--|
| AM PM | | | М | |
| NB | SB | NB | SB | |
| 11:04 | 10:06 | 10:28 | 10:07 | |
| | Route 2 – Via Highway 6 | | | |
| A | AM PM | | | |
| NB | SB | NB | SB | |
| 9:44 | 8:55 | 9:22 | 8:28 | |
| (minutes: seconds) | | | | |

Although overall travel time increases for all collector road options with an increase in the number of driveways along the route, Alternative A2 still presents higher travel time savings by utilizing the Highway 6 route (rather than via Argyle Street) compared to Alterative A1 or A3. As such, if the number of driveways were increased, Alternative A2 would remain the recommended option.



4.2 NATURAL AND SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT

Where applicable, impacts to the natural and social environment reduced the preference for an alternative. Weight was placed on impact to the natural environment, as vegetation clearing is required for some alternatives, however this natural area is not designated by the County as a Core Natural Area therefore impacts are not anticipated to be significant.

A significant weight was placed on impact to adjacent properties. There are four existing residential units adjacent to McKenzie Road directly south of the proposed subdivisions. Preference was given to options that do not impact the residential properties or where impacts had the potential to be mitigated. The alternative that directly impacts the units (Option B) was scored lower.

4.3 ENGINEERING

Given that in some alternatives a portion of the roadway passes directly through the subdivisions, cost sharing was considered in the evaluation. Preference was given to options that would be constructed simultaneously with the subdivision and therefore be directly funded by the developer. Less preference was assigned to options that require funding through development charges and potentially tax revenue.

4.4 DEVELOPMENT

The proposed subdivisions are planned at the southern end of Caledonia just north of the southern border of the Urban Boundary for the County. In keeping with planning policy, preference was given to alternatives that remain within the Urban Boundary, thereby not encouraging an expansion of the Urban Boundary.

As mentioned above, the McKenzie Subdivision is currently draft approved and the Beattie Estates Subdivision has a current layout under consideration. Given the state of the development plans, the alternatives that do not require modifications to the current subdivision plans are preferred. In some cases, minor modifications to the Beattie Estates plans are required which were scored moderately as these plans are not yet final and are flexible to changes.

Although Alternative A routes are designated as collector roads, it is anticipated that traffic calming may be required to moderate speeds where the routes pass through the subdivision with frequent driveway access. As such, in these areas the roads are likely to serve as a residential road rather than a collector road. Less preference was assigned to these options as the road is no longer able to serve its intended purpose and travel time and safety concerns may increase. In fact, such road sections are likely to face pressure to be traffic calmed moving forward.

An evaluation matrix was developed based on the criteria discussed above. The evaluation is provided in Appendix C.



- 9 -

5. PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE

Based on the assessment and evaluation of the alternative east-west routes, Alternative A2 was selected as the preferred alternative. Alternatives A1, A3, and B were not selected as the preferred based on the following factors:

Alternative A1

- Longest travel time between Beattie Estates subdivision and the intersection of Argyle Street and Highway 6 (via both routes)
- Minimal reduction in travel time between Beattie Subdivision and the intersection of Argyle Street and Highway 6 via Highway 6 (Caledonia Bypass) rather than via Argyle Street
- High frequency of driveways and intersections along the route
- May result in the need for traffic calming through the McKenzie subdivision and serve as a residential road rather than a collector

Alternative A3

- Moderate frequency of driveways and intersections along the route
- Access to the Beattie Estates subdivision is discontinuous from Argyle Street
- May result in the need for traffic calming unless the Beattie Estates subdivision is redesigned to accommodate collector role (e.g. minimize intersections and driveways).

Alternative B

- Requires complete property acquisition of the property on the east side of McKenzie Road at the intersection with 6th Line
- Full length of new road (3km) constructed outside of subdivision (DC funded)
- New road extends south and east of the urban boundary

Alternative A2 was selected as the preferred alternative based on the following:

- Similar or better travel time between Beattie Estates subdivision and the intersection of Argyle Street and Highway 6 compared to Alternative A1 and A3
- Reduces travel time from Beattie Subdivision to the intersection of Argyle Street and Highway 6 by utilizing Highway 6 (Caledonia Bypass) rather than Argyle Street
- Minimal private driveways adjacent to the road
- Intersections with the new road can be reduced with a minor design of the Beattie Estates subdivision plan



- Does not require modification to the McKenzie Estates subdivision
- Impacts to natural environment are minimal
- 0.8 km of the road will be constructed as part of the Beattie Estates subdivision
- New road is within the Urban Boundary limits
- Impacts to existing properties/houses can likely be mitigated





PED-PD-29-2018, Attachment 2



APPENDIX A

3027 Harvester Road, Suite 400 Burlington ON L7N 3G7 CANADA Phone: 289-288-0287 Fax: 289-288-0285 www.cima.ca

P:\Project\C11-08\B000900_Haldimand County_Caledonia EW route\080_REPORTS\B900_Haldimand County Caledonia EW Route_Evaluation Memo_e02v01.docx





Collector Road Altern

| | B00 | 0900 |
|------------|--------------|--------------|
| ative - A1 | Caledonia E- | -W Road LINK |
| | Drawing NO. | Date |
| | 001 | May 23, 2018 |
| | | |





Collector Road Alterna

| | - | |
|------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| | B000900 | |
| ative - A2 | Caledonia E-W Road LINK | |
| | Drawing No. | Date |
| | 002 | May 23, 2018 |
| | | |



| B00 | 0900 |
|--------------|--------------|
| Caledonia E- | -W Road LINK |
| Drawing No. | Date |
| 003 | May 23, 2018 |
| | |





Arterial Road Altern

| | B00 | 0900 |
|-----------|--------------|----------------|
| ative - B | Caledonia E- | -W Road LINK |
| | Drawing No. | Date |
| | 004 | March 21, 2018 |
| | | |



PED-PD-29-2018, Attachment 2



APPENDIX B

3027 Harvester Road, Suite 400 Burlington ON L7N 3G7 CANADA Phone: 289-288-0287 Fax: 289-288-0285 www.cima.ca

P:\Project\C11-08\B000900_Haldimand County_Caledonia EW route\080_REPORTS\B900_Haldimand County Caledonia EW Route_Evaluation Memo_e02v01.docx

Collector Road Alternative A1

Timing Plan: AM Peak

| | ٦ | $\mathbf{\hat{v}}$ | 1 | Ť | ţ | ∢ | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------|------|-----------|---------------|------|-----|--|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | | |
| Lane Configurations | ۴. | 1 | 5 | * | * | 1 | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 53 | 256 | 297 | 211 | 156 | 39 | | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 118 | 273 | 359 | 334 | 301 | 252 | | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.55 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1583 | 1021 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 137 | 317 | 417 | 388 | 350 | 293 | | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 269 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 137 | 48 | 417 | 388 | 350 | 212 | | | |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | Perm | NA | NA | Perm | | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | 2 | 6 | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | 4 | 2 | | | 6 | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 10.8 | 10.8 | 51.6 | 51.6 | 51.6 | 51.6 | | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 10.8 | 10.8 | 51.6 | 51.6 | 51.6 | 51.6 | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 267 | 239 | 737 | 1346 | 1346 | 1144 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | 0.21 | 0.19 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.08 | 0.03 | c0.41 | | | 0.13 | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.51 | 0.20 | 0.57 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.19 | | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 27.9 | 26.5 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.2 | | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | | | |
| Delay (s) | 29.5 | 26.9 | 7.8 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.2 | | | |
| Level of Service | С | С | А | А | А | А | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 27.7 | | | 6.0 | 3.4 | | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | | А | А | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 10.3 | H | CM 2000 | Level of Serv | /ice | В | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | acity ratio | | 0.56 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 71.4 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | 9.0 | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation | | 40.1% | IC | U Level | of Service | | А | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | |
| o Oritical Lana Oray | | | | | | | | | |

Collector Road Alternative A1

Timing Plan: AM Peak

| | < | * | t | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|------|-------|------|-----------|----------------|----|--|
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | | |
| Lane Configurations | Y | | 4 | | | स | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 264 | 0 | 0 | 195 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 213 | 0 | 313 | 139 | 0 | 340 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Frt | 1.00 | | 0.96 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | | 1785 | | | 1863 | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | | 1785 | | | 1863 | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 248 | 0 | 364 | 162 | 0 | 395 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 248 | 0 | 514 | 0 | 0 | 395 | | |
| Turn Type | Prot | | NA | | | NA | | |
| Protected Phases | 8 | | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | 6 | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 17.2 | | 60.3 | | | 60.3 | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 17.2 | | 60.3 | | | 60.3 | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.20 | | 0.70 | | | 0.70 | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 351 | | 1244 | | | 1298 | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.14 | | c0.29 | | | 0.21 | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.71 | | 0.41 | | | 0.30 | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 32.3 | | 5.6 | | | 5.0 | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 6.4 | | 1.0 | | | 0.6 | | |
| Delay (s) | 38.7 | | 6.6 | | | 5.6 | | |
| Level of Service | D | | А | | | А | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 38.7 | | 6.6 | | | 5.6 | | |
| Approach LOS | D | | А | | | А | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 13.1 | H | CM 2000 | Level of Servi | се | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Car | pacity ratio | | 0.48 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |) | | 86.5 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utili | zation | | 17.6% | IC | CU Level | of Service | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |

Collector Road Alternative A1 Timing Plan: AM Peak

| | ≯ | - | \rightarrow | 1 | + | • | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------|---------------|------|-----------|------------|---------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | \$ | | | \$ | | | \$ | | | 4 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 25 | 61 | 76 | 28 | 76 | 75 | 80 | 75 | 9 | 25 | 25 | 7 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | 0.94 | | | 0.94 | | | 0.99 | | | 0.98 | |
| Flt Protected | | 0.99 | | | 0.99 | | | 0.98 | | | 0.98 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 1732 | | | 1744 | | | 1805 | | | 1793 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 0.99 | | | 0.99 | | | 0.98 | | | 0.98 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 1732 | | | 1744 | | | 1805 | | | 1793 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 29 | 71 | 88 | 33 | 88 | 87 | 93 | 87 | 10 | 29 | 29 | 8 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 188 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | | NA | | | NA | | | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | 8 | 8 | | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | | | | | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 10.6 | | | 11.5 | | | 26.8 | | | 26.8 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 10.6 | | | 11.5 | | | 26.8 | | | 26.8 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.17 | | | 0.18 | | | 0.43 | | | 0.43 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 294 | | | 321 | | | 775 | | | 770 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | c0.09 | | | c0.10 | | | c0.10 | | | 0.03 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.50 | | | 0.55 | | | 0.24 | | | 0.08 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 23.5 | | | 23.1 | | | 11.3 | | | 10.5 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 1.4 | | | 1.9 | | | 0.7 | | | 0.2 | |
| Delay (s) | | 24.9 | | | 25.0 | | | 12.1 | | | 10.7 | |
| Level of Service | | С | | | С | | | В | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 24.9 | | | 25.0 | | | 12.1 | | | 10.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | С | | | В | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 19.7 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | В | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | city ratio | | 0.37 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 62.4 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | | 13.5 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizat | tion | | 0.0% | IC | CU Level | of Service | Э | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

| | ≯ | \mathbf{i} | - | 1 | Ŧ | < | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------|------|-----------|---------------|----|-----|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | |
| Lane Configurations | 3 | 1 | 5 | * | * | 1 | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 56 | 282 | 287 | 220 | 192 | 49 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 56 | 282 | 287 | 245 | 251 | 49 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.59 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1583 | 1102 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 61 | 307 | 312 | 266 | 273 | 53 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 234 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 61 | 73 | 312 | 266 | 273 | 25 | | |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | custom | NA | NA | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 6 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 7.3 | 7.3 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 7.3 | 7.3 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 418 | 373 | 520 | 880 | 880 | 747 | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | 0.15 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.03 | c0.05 | c0.28 | 0.14 | | 0.02 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.60 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.03 | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 9.3 | 9.4 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.4 | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | | |
| Delay (s) | 9.5 | 9.7 | 7.9 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 4.4 | | |
| Level of Service | А | A | А | А | А | А | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.7 | | | 6.6 | 5.1 | | | |
| Approach LOS | А | | | А | А | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 7.1 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of Serv | ce | Α |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | acity ratio | | 0.46 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 30.9 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | 9.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | ation | | 41.4% | IC | U Level | of Service | | А |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |
| a Critical Lana Crown | | | | | | | | |

| | ✓ | • | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|------|-------|------|-----------|-----------------|----|-----|--|
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | | | |
| Lane Configurations | W | | 1. | | | 4 | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 0 | 241 | | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 141 | 0 | 465 | 254 | 0 | 325 | | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | |
| Frt | 1.00 | | 0.95 | | | 1.00 | | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | | 1770 | | | 1863 | | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | | 1770 | | | 1863 | | | |
| Peak-hour factor. PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.92 | | | |
| Adi, Flow (vph) | 164 | 0 | 505 | 295 | 0 | 353 | | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 164 | 0 | 782 | 0 | 0 | 353 | | | |
| Turn Type | Prot | | NA | | | NA | | | |
| Protected Phases | 8 | | 2 | | | 6 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | - | | _ | | 6 | - | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 14.2 | | 78.4 | | - | 78.4 | | | |
| Effective Green, q (s) | 14.2 | | 78.4 | | | 78.4 | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.14 | | 0.77 | | | 0.77 | | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 247 | | 1365 | | | 1437 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.09 | | c0.44 | | | 0.19 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.66 | | 0.57 | | | 0.25 | | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 41.4 | | 4.7 | | | 3.3 | | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 6.6 | | 1.8 | | | 0.4 | | | |
| Delay (s) | 48.0 | | 6.5 | | | 3.7 | | | |
| Level of Service | D | | А | | | А | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 48.0 | | 6.5 | | | 3.7 | | | |
| Approach LOS | D | | А | | | А | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 10.9 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of Servio | ce | В | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Cap | acity ratio | | 0.59 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 101.6 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | 9.0 | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation | | 18.3% | IC | CU Level | of Service | | A | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 111: McKenzie Road & Beattie Estates Driveway 3 Timing Plan: PM Peak 05/24/2018

| | ۶ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | 4 | + | * | ٠ | Ť | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-------|--------------------|------|-----------|------------|---------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | \$ | | | | | | \$ | | | \$ | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 15 | 95 | 95 | 34 | 53 | 34 | 78 | 34 | 57 | 58 | 58 | 32 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | 0.94 | | | 0.96 | | | 0.95 | | | 0.97 | |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | | | 0.98 | | | 0.98 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 1740 | | | 1767 | | | 1738 | | | 1774 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | | | 0.98 | | | 0.98 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 1740 | | | 1767 | | | 1738 | | | 1774 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 17 | 110 | 110 | 40 | 62 | 40 | 91 | 40 | 66 | 67 | 67 | 37 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 0 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | | NA | | | NA | | | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | 8 | 8 | | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | | | | | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 12.3 | | | 8.2 | | | 29.3 | | | 29.3 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 12.3 | | | 8.2 | | | 29.3 | | | 29.3 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.19 | | | 0.13 | | | 0.46 | | | 0.46 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 338 | | | 228 | | | 804 | | | 821 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | c0.11 | | | c0.07 | | | c0.10 | | | 0.09 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.58 | | | 0.54 | | | 0.23 | | | 0.20 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 23.2 | | | 25.8 | | | 10.2 | | | 10.1 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 2.6 | | | 2.6 | | | 0.7 | | | 0.5 | |
| Delay (s) | | 25.7 | | | 28.4 | | | 10.8 | | | 10.6 | |
| Level of Service | | С | | | С | | | В | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 25.7 | | | 28.4 | | | 10.8 | | | 10.6 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | С | | | В | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 18.9 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | В | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit | ity ratio | | 0.37 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 63.3 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | | 13.5 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizati | on | | 0.0% | IC | CU Level | of Service | e | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

Collector Road Alternative A2

| Timina | Plan [.] | AM | Peak |
|---------|-------------------|-----|--------|
| THIMING | i iuii. | / \ | i cuit |

| | ٦ | $\mathbf{\hat{v}}$ | 1 | Ť | ţ | ∢ | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------|------|-----------|---------------|----|-----|--|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | | |
| Lane Configurations | ۴. | 1 | 5 | • | * | 1 | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 53 | 256 | 297 | 211 | 156 | 39 | | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 130 | 261 | 316 | 278 | 357 | 295 | | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1583 | 938 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 151 | 303 | 367 | 323 | 415 | 343 | | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 258 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 151 | 45 | 367 | 323 | 415 | 254 | | | |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | Perm | NA | NA | Perm | | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | 2 | 6 | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | 4 | 2 | | | 6 | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 12.2 | 12.2 | 60.6 | 60.6 | 60.6 | 60.6 | | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 12.2 | 12.2 | 60.6 | 60.6 | 60.6 | 60.6 | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.74 | | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 263 | 236 | 694 | 1380 | 1380 | 1172 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | 0.17 | 0.22 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.09 | 0.03 | c0.39 | | | 0.16 | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.57 | 0.19 | 0.53 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 0.22 | | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 32.4 | 30.5 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.3 | | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | | | |
| Delay (s) | 35.4 | 30.9 | 7.4 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.4 | | | |
| Level of Service | D | С | А | А | А | А | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 32.4 | | | 5.7 | 3.5 | | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | | А | А | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 11.2 | H | CM 2000 | Level of Serv | се | В | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | acity ratio | | 0.54 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 81.8 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | 9.0 | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation | | 40.1% | IC | U Level | of Service | | А | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Collector Road Alternative A2

| Timina | Dian | ^ ^ ^ | Dook |
|---------|-------|-------|------|
| i iming | Plan: | AIVI | Реак |

| | 4 | * | Ť | ۲ | 5 | Ļ | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|------|-------|------|-----------|----------------|----|--|
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | | |
| Lane Configurations | Υ | | 4 | | | र्भ | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 264 | 0 | 0 | 195 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 312 | 0 | 313 | 95 | 0 | 340 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Frt | 1.00 | | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | | 1804 | | | 1863 | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | | 1804 | | | 1863 | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 363 | 0 | 364 | 110 | 0 | 395 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 363 | 0 | 466 | 0 | 0 | 395 | | |
| Turn Type | Prot | | NA | | | NA | | |
| Protected Phases | 8 | | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | 6 | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 21.6 | | 50.7 | | | 50.7 | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 21.6 | | 50.7 | | | 50.7 | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.27 | | 0.62 | | | 0.62 | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 470 | | 1125 | | | 1161 | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.21 | | c0.26 | | | 0.21 | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.77 | | 0.41 | | | 0.34 | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 27.6 | | 7.8 | | | 7.3 | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 7.7 | | 1.1 | | | 0.8 | | |
| Delay (s) | 35.3 | | 8.9 | | | 8.1 | | |
| Level of Service | D | | А | | | А | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 35.3 | | 8.9 | | | 8.1 | | |
| Approach LOS | D | | А | | | А | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 16.4 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of Servi | се | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | city ratio | | 0.52 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | • | | 81.3 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation | | 17.6% | IC | CU Level | of Service | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |

Collector Road Alternative A2

| 116: McKenzie Ro | ad & 6t | h Line | /Beatt | ie Driv | veway | | | | | Timinę | g Plan: Al | M Peak |
|------------------------|---------|--------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|------|------|--------|------------|--------|
| | ٦ | - | \mathbf{F} | ∢ | + | * | 1 | Ť | 1 | 1 | ţ | ~ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | \$ | | | ÷ | | | \$ | | | \$ | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 50 | 45 | 0 | 28 | 147 | 75 | 0 | 28 | 9 | 25 | 28 | 165 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | 1.00 | | | 0.96 | | | 0.97 | | | 0.90 | |
| Flt Protected | | 0.97 | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 1815 | | | 1777 | | | 1804 | | | 1663 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 0.97 | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 1815 | | | 1777 | | | 1804 | | | 1663 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 58 | 52 | 0 | 33 | 171 | 87 | 0 | 33 | 10 | 29 | 33 | 192 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 272 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 0 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | | NA | | | NA | | | NA | |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 7.7 | | | 14.9 | | | 28.5 | | | 28.5 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 7.7 | | | 14.9 | | | 28.5 | | | 28.5 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.12 | | | 0.23 | | | 0.44 | | | 0.44 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 216 | | | 409 | | | 795 | | | 733 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | c0.06 | | | c0.15 | | | 0.02 | | | c0.09 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.51 | | | 0.66 | | | 0.05 | | | 0.21 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 26.7 | | | 22.6 | | | 10.3 | | | 11.1 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 1.9 | | | 4.0 | | | 0.1 | | | 0.7 | |
| Delay (s) | | 28.6 | | | 26.6 | | | 10.4 | | | 11.8 | |
| Level of Service | | С | | | С | | | В | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 28.6 | | | 26.6 | | | 10.4 | | | 11.8 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | С | | | В | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delav | | | 20.5 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | С | | | |

| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 20.5 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | С | |
|-----------------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|--|
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.39 | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 64.6 | Sum of lost time (s) | 13.5 | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 0.0% | ICU Level of Service | A | |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | | |

Collector Road Alternative A2

| Timina | Plan [.] | РМ | Peak |
|--------|-------------------|-------|-------|
| rinnig | i ian. | 1 111 | I Car |

| | ٦ | \mathbf{i} | 1 | Ť | ţ | ∢ | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------|------|-----------|---------------|----|-----|--|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | | |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | 1 | 5 | • | • | 1 | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 56 | 282 | 287 | 220 | 192 | 49 | | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 56 | 282 | 287 | 245 | 251 | 49 | | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.59 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1583 | 1102 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 61 | 307 | 312 | 266 | 273 | 53 | | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 234 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 61 | 73 | 312 | 266 | 273 | 25 | | | |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | custom | NA | NA | Perm | | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 6 | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | | 6 | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 7.3 | 7.3 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 7.3 | 7.3 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 418 | 373 | 520 | 880 | 880 | 747 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | 0.15 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.03 | c0.05 | c0.28 | 0.14 | | 0.02 | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.60 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.03 | | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 9.3 | 9.4 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.4 | | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | | | |
| Delay (s) | 9.5 | 9.7 | 7.9 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 4.4 | | | |
| Level of Service | А | А | А | А | А | А | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.7 | | | 6.6 | 5.1 | | | | |
| Approach LOS | А | | | А | А | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 7.1 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of Serv | се | А | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | acity ratio | | 0.46 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 30.9 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | 9.0 | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation | | 41.4% | IC | U Level | of Service | | А | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | |
| a Critical Lana Cress | | | | | | | | | |

Collector Road Alternative A2

| Timina | Plan. | РМ | Peak |
|--------|-------|----|------|
| TITITI | гiaн. | | геак |

| | ∢ | * | Ť | ۲ | 5 | Ļ | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|------|-------|------|-----------|---------------|----|-----|
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | | |
| Lane Configurations | ¥ | | ĥ | | | स् | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 0 | 241 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 141 | 0 | 465 | 254 | 0 | 325 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Frt | 1.00 | | 0.95 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | | 1770 | | | 1863 | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | | 1770 | | | 1863 | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.92 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 164 | 0 | 505 | 295 | 0 | 353 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 164 | 0 | 782 | 0 | 0 | 353 | | |
| Turn Type | Prot | | NA | | | NA | | |
| Protected Phases | 8 | | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | 6 | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 14.2 | | 78.4 | | | 78.4 | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 14.2 | | 78.4 | | | 78.4 | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.14 | | 0.77 | | | 0.77 | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 247 | | 1365 | | | 1437 | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.09 | | c0.44 | | | 0.19 | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.66 | | 0.57 | | | 0.25 | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 41.4 | | 4.7 | | | 3.3 | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 6.6 | | 1.8 | | | 0.4 | | |
| Delay (s) | 48.0 | | 6.5 | | | 3.7 | | |
| Level of Service | D | | А | | | А | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 48.0 | | 6.5 | | | 3.7 | | |
| Approach LOS | D | | А | | | А | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 10.9 | H | CM 2000 | Level of Serv | ce | В |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | city ratio | | 0.59 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 101.6 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | 9.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion | | 18.3% | IC | CU Level | of Service | | А |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |

Collector Road Alternative A2 Timing Plan: PM Peak

| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{F} | 4 | + | * | • | Ť | 1 | 5 | Ŧ | ~ |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|--------------|------|-----------|------------|---------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | \$ | | | ÷ | | | \$ | | | \$ | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 159 | 95 | 0 | 34 | 53 | 34 | 0 | 57 | 57 | 58 | 34 | 88 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | 1.00 | | | 0.96 | | | 0.93 | | | 0.93 | |
| Flt Protected | | 0.97 | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 1806 | | | 1767 | | | 1737 | | | 1713 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 0.97 | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 1806 | | | 1767 | | | 1737 | | | 1713 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 185 | 110 | 0 | 40 | 62 | 40 | 0 | 66 | 66 | 67 | 40 | 102 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 295 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 184 | 0 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | | NA | | | NA | | | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 14.9 | | | 14.9 | | | 36.7 | | | 36.7 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 14.9 | | | 14.9 | | | 36.7 | | | 36.7 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.25 | | | 0.25 | | | 0.61 | | | 0.61 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 444 | | | 434 | | | 1051 | | | 1037 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | c0.16 | | | 0.07 | | | 0.06 | | | c0.11 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.66 | | | 0.27 | | | 0.10 | | | 0.18 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 20.6 | | | 18.5 | | | 5.0 | | | 5.3 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 3.7 | | | 0.3 | | | 0.2 | | | 0.4 | |
| Delay (s) | | 24.3 | | | 18.8 | | | 5.2 | | | 5.7 | |
| Level of Service | | С | | | В | | | А | | | А | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 24.3 | | | 18.8 | | | 5.2 | | | 5.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | В | | | А | | | А | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 15.1 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | В | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity | ratio | | 0.32 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 60.6 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | | 9.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | n | | 0.0% | IC | CU Level | of Service |) | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Collector Road Alternative A3

Timing Plan: AM Peak

| | ٦ | \mathbf{r} | 1 | Ť | Ŧ | ∢ | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------|------|-----------|---------------|------|-----|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | 1 | 5 | * | * | 1 | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 53 | 256 | 297 | 211 | 156 | 39 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 118 | 273 | 359 | 278 | 357 | 252 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1583 | 940 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 137 | 317 | 417 | 323 | 415 | 293 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 269 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 137 | 48 | 417 | 323 | 415 | 212 | | |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | Perm | NA | NA | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | 2 | 6 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | 4 | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 10.8 | 10.8 | 51.6 | 51.6 | 51.6 | 51.6 | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 10.8 | 10.8 | 51.6 | 51.6 | 51.6 | 51.6 | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 267 | 239 | 679 | 1346 | 1346 | 1144 | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | 0.17 | 0.22 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.08 | 0.03 | c0.44 | | | 0.13 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.51 | 0.20 | 0.61 | 0.24 | 0.31 | 0.19 | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 27.9 | 26.5 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.2 | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | | |
| Delay (s) | 29.5 | 26.9 | 9.1 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.2 | | |
| Level of Service | С | С | А | А | А | А | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 27.7 | | | 6.7 | 3.5 | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | | А | А | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 10.5 | H | CM 2000 | Level of Serv | rice | В |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | acity ratio | | 0.60 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 71.4 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | 9.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation | | 40.1% | IC | U Level | of Service | | А |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |
| a Critical Lana Craun | | | | | | | | |

Collector Road Alternative A3

| | - | | <u> </u> |
|-------------|-------|----|----------|
| Iiming | Plan: | AM | Peak |

| | 4 | * | t | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------|-------|------|-----------|---------------|------|--|
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | | |
| Lane Configurations | ¥ | | ţ, | | | स | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 264 | 0 | 0 | 195 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 269 | 0 | 313 | 83 | 0 | 340 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Frt | 1.00 | | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | | 1810 | | | 1863 | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | | 1810 | | | 1863 | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 313 | 0 | 364 | 97 | 0 | 395 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 313 | 0 | 454 | 0 | 0 | 395 | | |
| Turn Type | Prot | | NA | | | NA | | |
| Protected Phases | 8 | | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | 6 | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 19.4 | | 53.2 | | | 53.2 | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 19.4 | | 53.2 | | | 53.2 | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.24 | | 0.65 | | | 0.65 | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 420 | | 1180 | | | 1214 | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.18 | | c0.25 | | | 0.21 | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.75 | | 0.38 | | | 0.33 | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 28.8 | | 6.6 | | | 6.3 | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 7.0 | | 1.0 | | | 0.7 | | |
| Delay (s) | 35.9 | | 7.5 | | | 7.0 | | |
| Level of Service | D | | А | | | А | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 35.9 | | 7.5 | | | 7.0 | | |
| Approach LOS | D | | А | | | А | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 14.9 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of Serv | vice | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | acity ratio | | 0.48 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | • | | 81.6 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation | | 17.6% | IC | CU Level | of Service | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |

Collector Road Alternative A3

Timing Plan: AM Peak

| | 4 | × | 1 | 1 | 1 | ŧ | |
|---------------------------------|------|------|-------|------|---------|------------|----|
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | |
| Lane Configurations | W. | | 1. | | | ភ | |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 132 | 75 | 67 | 42 | 25 | 139 | |
| Sign Control | Stop | | Free | | | Free | |
| Grade | 0% | | 0% | | | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 153 | 87 | 78 | 49 | 29 | 162 | |
| Pedestrians | | | | | | | |
| Lane Width (m) | | | | | | | |
| Walking Speed (m/s) | | | | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | | | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | | |
| Median type | | | None | | | None | |
| Median storage veh) | | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (m) | | | 166 | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | 322 | 102 | | | 127 | | |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 322 | 102 | | | 127 | | |
| tC, single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | | | 4.1 | | |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 | | | 2.2 | | |
| p0 queue free % | 77 | 91 | | | 98 | | |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 658 | 953 | | | 1459 | | |
| Direction, Lane # | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 | | | | |
| Volume Total | 240 | 127 | 191 | | | | |
| Volume Left | 153 | 0 | 29 | | | | |
| Volume Right | 87 | 49 | 0 | | | | |
| cSH | 741 | 1700 | 1459 | | | | |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.32 | 0.07 | 0.02 | | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 11.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | | | | |
| Control Delay (s) | 12.2 | 0.0 | 1.3 | | | | |
| Lane LOS | В | | А | | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 12.2 | 0.0 | 1.3 | | | | |
| Approach LOS | В | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | 5.7 | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizati | on | | 13.3% | IC | U Level | of Service | y. |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | |

Collector Road Alternative A3

Timing Plan: AM Peak

| | ≯ | \mathbf{r} | 1 | Ť | ţ | ∢ | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------|------|------------|------------------|---|-----|--|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | | |
| Lane Configurations | M | | | र्स | đ, | | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ō | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 83 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 269 | | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | |
| Frt | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 0.86 | | | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | | | 1863 | 1611 | | | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | | | 1863 | 1611 | | | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 97 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 313 | | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 97 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 243 | 0 | | | |
| Turn Type | Prot | | | NA | NA | | | | |
| Protected Phases | 4 | | | 2 | 6 | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | 2 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 8.1 | | | 59.5 | 59.5 | | | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 8.1 | | | 59.5 | 59.5 | | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.11 | | | 0.78 | 0.78 | | | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 187 | | | 1447 | 1251 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.05 | | | 0.00 | c0.15 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.52 | | | 0.00 | 0.19 | | | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 32.4 | | | 1.9 | 2.2 | | | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 2.4 | | | 0.0 | 0.3 | | | | |
| Delay (s) | 34.8 | | | 1.9 | 2.6 | | | | |
| Level of Service | С | | | А | А | | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 34.8 | | | 1.9 | 2.6 | | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | | А | А | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 10.1 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of Service |) | В | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | acity ratio | | 0.23 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 76.6 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | 9.0 | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation | | 0.0% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | А | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | |

Collector Road Alternative A3

Timing Plan: PM Peak

| | ٦ | \mathbf{i} | 1 | Ť | ţ | ∢ | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------|------|-----------|---------------|----|-----|--|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | | |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | 1 | 5 | • | * | 1 | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 56 | 282 | 287 | 220 | 192 | 49 | | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 56 | 282 | 287 | 245 | 251 | 49 | | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.59 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1583 | 1102 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 61 | 307 | 312 | 266 | 273 | 53 | | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 234 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 61 | 73 | 312 | 266 | 273 | 25 | | | |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | custom | NA | NA | Perm | | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 6 | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | | 6 | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 7.3 | 7.3 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 7.3 | 7.3 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 418 | 373 | 520 | 880 | 880 | 747 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | 0.15 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.03 | c0.05 | c0.28 | 0.14 | | 0.02 | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.60 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.03 | | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 9.3 | 9.4 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.4 | | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | | | |
| Delay (s) | 9.5 | 9.7 | 7.9 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 4.4 | | | |
| Level of Service | А | А | А | А | А | А | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.7 | | | 6.6 | 5.1 | | | | |
| Approach LOS | А | | | А | А | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 7.1 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of Serv | се | A | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | acity ratio | | 0.46 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 30.9 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | 9.0 | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation | | 41.4% | IC | U Level | of Service | | А | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | |
| a Oritical Lana Orayon | | | | | | | | | |

Collector Road Alternative A3

| | 4 | * | Ť | ۲ | 5 | Ļ | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|------|-------|------|-----------|---------------|------|-----|
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | | |
| Lane Configurations | W. | | ţ, | | | સ | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 0 | 241 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 141 | 0 | 465 | 254 | 0 | 325 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Frt | 1.00 | | 0.95 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | | 1770 | | | 1863 | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | | 1770 | | | 1863 | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.92 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 164 | 0 | 505 | 295 | 0 | 353 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 164 | 0 | 782 | 0 | 0 | 353 | | |
| Turn Type | Prot | | NA | | | NA | | |
| Protected Phases | 8 | | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | 6 | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 14.2 | | 78.4 | | | 78.4 | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 14.2 | | 78.4 | | | 78.4 | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.14 | | 0.77 | | | 0.77 | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 247 | | 1365 | | | 1437 | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.09 | | c0.44 | | | 0.19 | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.66 | | 0.57 | | | 0.25 | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 41.4 | | 4.7 | | | 3.3 | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 6.6 | | 1.8 | | | 0.4 | | |
| Delay (s) | 48.0 | | 6.5 | | | 3.7 | | |
| Level of Service | D | | А | | | А | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 48.0 | | 6.5 | | | 3.7 | | |
| Approach LOS | D | | А | | | А | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 10.9 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of Serv | vice | В |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | city ratio | | 0.59 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 101.6 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | 9.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion | | 18.3% | IC | CU Level | of Service | | А |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |

Collector Road Alternative A3

Timing Plan: PM Peak

| | ∢ | * | 1 | ۲ | 1 | ŧ | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|-------|------|--------|------------|---|--|
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | | |
| Lane Configurations | W. | | 1. | | | ជ | | |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 87 | 34 | 167 | 152 | 58 | 114 | | |
| Sign Control | Stop | | Free | | | Free | | |
| Grade | 0% | | 0% | | | 0% | | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | | |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 101 | 40 | 194 | 177 | 67 | 133 | | |
| Pedestrians | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Width (m) | | | | | | | | |
| Walking Speed (m/s) | | | | | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | | | | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | | | |
| Median type | | | None | | | None | | |
| Median storage veh) | | | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (m) | | | 191 | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | 550 | 282 | | | 371 | | | |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 550 | 282 | | | 371 | | | |
| tC, single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | | | 4.1 | | | |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 | | | 2.2 | | | |
| p0 queue free % | 78 | 95 | | | 94 | | | |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 468 | 756 | | | 1188 | | | |
| Direction, Lane # | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 | | | | | |
| Volume Total | 141 | 371 | 200 | | | | | |
| Volume Left | 101 | 0 | 67 | | | | | |
| Volume Right | 40 | 177 | 0 | | | | | |
| cSH | 525 | 1700 | 1188 | | | | | |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.06 | | | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 8.6 | 0.0 | 1.4 | | | | | |
| Control Delay (s) | 14.4 | 0.0 | 3.1 | | | | | |
| Lane LOS | В | | Α | | | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 14.4 | 0.0 | 3.1 | | | | | |
| Approach LOS | В | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summarv | | | | | | | ļ | |
| Average Delay | | | 37 | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ition | | 13.3% | IC | ULevel | of Service | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |

Collector Road Alternative A3

| Timina | Plan [.] | РМ | Peak |
|--------|-------------------|---------|--------|
| THINK | i iuii. | 1 1 1 1 | i ouit |

| | ۶ | \mathbf{r} | • | 1 | Ļ | ∢ | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|--------------|------|------|------------|------------------|---|-----|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | |
| Lane Configurations | ¥. | | | સ | 1 | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | | | | 4.5 | | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | | | 1.00 | | | |
| Frt | 1.00 | | | | 0.86 | | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | | | | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | | | | 1611 | | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | | | | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | | | | 1611 | | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 295 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 295 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | | |
| Turn Type | Prot | | | | NA | | | |
| Protected Phases | 4 | | | 2 | 6 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | 2 | | | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 14.1 | | | | 31.4 | | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 14.1 | | | | 31.4 | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.26 | | | | 0.58 | | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | | | | 4.5 | | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | | | | 3.0 | | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 457 | | | | 928 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.17 | | | | c0.06 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.65 | | | | 0.10 | | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 18.0 | | | | 5.2 | | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | | | 1.00 | | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 3.1 | | | | 0.2 | | | |
| Delay (s) | 21.1 | | | | 5.4 | | | |
| Level of Service | С | | | | А | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 21.1 | | | 0.0 | 5.4 | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | | A | A | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 15.5 | H | CM 2000 | Level of Service | 9 | В |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | city ratio | | 0.27 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 54.5 | Su | um of lost | t time (s) | | 9.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation | | 0.0% | IC | U Level o | of Service | | А |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |

Arterial Road Alternative B

Timing Plan: AM Peak

| | ٦ | $\mathbf{\hat{v}}$ | 1 | Ť | ţ | ∢ | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------|------|-----------|----------------|----|-----|--|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | | |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | 1 | 5 | • | • | 1 | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 53 | 256 | 297 | 211 | 156 | 39 | | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 118 | 273 | 359 | 279 | 357 | 250 | | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | | | |
| FIt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1583 | 940 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 137 | 317 | 417 | 324 | 415 | 291 | | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 269 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 137 | 48 | 417 | 324 | 415 | 210 | | | |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | Perm | NA | NA | Perm | | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | 2 | 6 | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | 4 | 2 | | | 6 | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 10.8 | 10.8 | 51.6 | 51.6 | 51.6 | 51.6 | | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 10.8 | 10.8 | 51.6 | 51.6 | 51.6 | 51.6 | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 267 | 239 | 679 | 1346 | 1346 | 1144 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | 0.17 | 0.22 | | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.08 | 0.03 | c0.44 | | | 0.13 | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.51 | 0.20 | 0.61 | 0.24 | 0.31 | 0.18 | | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 27.9 | 26.5 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.2 | | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | | | |
| Delay (s) | 29.5 | 26.9 | 9.1 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.2 | | | |
| Level of Service | С | С | Α | А | А | А | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 27.7 | | | 6.7 | 3.5 | | | | |
| Approach LOS | С | | | А | А | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 10.5 | H | CM 2000 | Level of Servi | се | В | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Cap | acity ratio | | 0.60 | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 71.4 | Si | um of los | t time (s) | | 9.0 | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | zation | | 40.1% | IC | U Level | of Service | | А | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | |
| a Critical Long Crews | | | | | | | | | |

Arterial Road Alternative B

Timing Plan: AM Peak

| | ∢ | * | Ť | ۲ | 5 | Ļ | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------|-------|------|-----------|---------------|----|--|
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | | |
| Lane Configurations | - M | | eî 👘 | | | با | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 264 | 0 | 0 | 195 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 267 | 0 | 313 | 84 | 0 | 340 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Frt | 1.00 | | 0.97 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | | 1809 | | | 1863 | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | | 1809 | | | 1863 | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 310 | 0 | 364 | 98 | 0 | 395 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 310 | 0 | 455 | 0 | 0 | 395 | | |
| Turn Type | Prot | | NA | | | NA | | |
| Protected Phases | 8 | | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | 6 | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 19.2 | | 53.2 | | | 53.2 | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 19.2 | | 53.2 | | | 53.2 | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.24 | | 0.65 | | | 0.65 | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 417 | | 1182 | | | 1217 | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.18 | | c0.25 | | | 0.21 | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.74 | | 0.39 | | | 0.32 | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 28.8 | | 6.5 | | | 6.2 | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 7.0 | | 1.0 | | | 0.7 | | |
| Delay (s) | 35.8 | | 7.5 | | | 6.9 | | |
| Level of Service | D | | А | | | А | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 35.8 | | 7.5 | | | 6.9 | | |
| Approach LOS | D | | А | | | А | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 14.8 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of Serv | се | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | acity ratio | | 0.48 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | · | | 81.4 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation | | 17.6% | IC | CU Level | of Service | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |

Arterial Road Alternative B Timing Plan: AM Peak

| TTO: MONOTEIO TROAC | | | , Boall | | onay | | | | | | , | |
|----------------------------------|---------|-------|--------------|------|-----------|------------|---------|------|------|------|----------|------|
| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{r} | 4 | + | * | • | Ť | 1 | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | \$ | | | \$ | | | 4 | | | 4 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 51 | 48 | 0 | 28 | 110 | 78 | 0 | 14 | 9 | 25 | 28 | 163 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | 1.00 | | | 0.95 | | | 0.95 | | | 0.90 | |
| Flt Protected | | 0.97 | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 1816 | | | 1760 | | | 1766 | | | 1664 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 0.97 | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.99 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 1816 | | | 1760 | | | 1766 | | | 1664 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 59 | 56 | 0 | 33 | 128 | 91 | 0 | 16 | 10 | 29 | 33 | 190 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 227 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 0 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | | NA | | | NA | | | NA | |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 7.9 | | | 13.4 | | | 30.0 | | | 30.0 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 7.9 | | | 13.4 | | | 30.0 | | | 30.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.12 | | | 0.21 | | | 0.46 | | | 0.46 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 221 | | | 363 | | | 817 | | | 770 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | c0.06 | | | c0.13 | | | 0.01 | | | c0.09 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.52 | | | 0.62 | | | 0.03 | | | 0.20 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 26.7 | | | 23.4 | | | 9.5 | | | 10.3 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 2.2 | | | 3.3 | | | 0.1 | | | 0.6 | |
| Delay (s) | | 28.9 | | | 26.7 | | | 9.5 | | | 10.9 | |
| Level of Service | | С | | | С | | | А | | | В | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 28.9 | | | 26.7 | | | 9.5 | | | 10.9 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | С | | | А | | | В | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 20.2 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | С | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity | y ratio | | 0.36 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 64.8 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | | 13.5 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizatio | n | | 7.9% | IC | CU Level | of Service | Э | | Α | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

Arterial Road Alternative B

| | ۶ | \mathbf{i} | 1 | t | Ļ | ∢ | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|--------------|--------|------|-----------|----------------|----|-----|
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | 1 | 5 | • | • | 1 | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 56 | 282 | 287 | 220 | 192 | 49 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 56 | 282 | 287 | 280 | 333 | 49 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.55 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1583 | 1016 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 61 | 307 | 312 | 304 | 362 | 53 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 237 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 61 | 70 | 312 | 304 | 362 | 27 | | |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | custom | NA | NA | Perm | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 6 | | | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 7.6 | 7.6 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 7.6 | 7.6 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 403 | 361 | 509 | 934 | 934 | 793 | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | 0.19 | | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.03 | c0.04 | c0.31 | 0.16 | | 0.02 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.61 | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.03 | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 4.2 | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | | |
| Delay (s) | 10.4 | 10.6 | 8.2 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 4.2 | | |
| Level of Service | B | В | A | A | A | A | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 10.6 | | | 6.7 | 5.3 | | | |
| Approach LOS | В | | | A | A | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 7.3 | H | CM 2000 | Level of Servi | се | А |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capa | city ratio | | 0.48 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 33.3 | Si | um of los | t time (s) | | 9.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | ation | | 41.4% | IC | U Level | of Service | | А |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |
| a Critical Lana Crayer | | | | | | | | |

Arterial Road Alternative B

| Timina | Plan [.] | РМ | Peak |
|---------|-------------------|-------|--------|
| THIMING | i iuii. | 1 111 | i ouit |

| | 4 | • | Ť | ۲ | 1 | Ļ | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|------|-------|------|------------|---------------|-----|--|
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | | |
| Lane Configurations | Υ | | 4Î | | | र्भ | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 0 | 241 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 142 | 0 | 465 | 253 | 0 | 325 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Frt | 1.00 | | 0.95 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | | 1770 | | | 1863 | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | | 1770 | | | 1863 | | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.92 | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 165 | 0 | 505 | 294 | 0 | 353 | | |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 165 | 0 | 781 | 0 | 0 | 353 | | |
| Turn Type | Prot | | NA | | | NA | | |
| Protected Phases | 8 | | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | 6 | | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 14.3 | | 78.3 | | | 78.3 | | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 14.3 | | 78.3 | | | 78.3 | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.14 | | 0.77 | | | 0.77 | | |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 249 | | 1364 | | | 1435 | | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.09 | | c0.44 | | | 0.19 | | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.66 | | 0.57 | | | 0.25 | | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 41.4 | | 4.8 | | | 3.3 | | |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 6.5 | | 1.8 | | | 0.4 | | |
| Delay (s) | 47.8 | | 6.5 | | | 3.7 | | |
| Level of Service | D | | А | | | А | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 47.8 | | 6.5 | | | 3.7 | | |
| Approach LOS | D | | А | | | А | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delav | | | 11.0 | Н | ICM 2000 | Level of Serv | ice | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capac | ity ratio | | 0.59 | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | , | | 101.6 | S | um of lost | t time (s) | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilizati | ion | | 18.3% | 10 | CU Level o | of Service | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | |

Arterial Road Alternative B Timing Plan: PM Peak

| | ٠ | - | \mathbf{r} | 4 | + | × | • | t | 1 | 1 | ţ | 4 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-------|--------------|------|-----------|------------|---------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | \$ | | | \$ | | | \$ | | | \$ | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 167 | 116 | 0 | 34 | 67 | 45 | 0 | 74 | 57 | 64 | 43 | 93 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | 1.00 | | | 0.96 | | | 0.94 | | | 0.94 | |
| Flt Protected | | 0.97 | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 1809 | | | 1765 | | | 1754 | | | 1718 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 0.97 | | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | | 0.98 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 1809 | | | 1765 | | | 1754 | | | 1718 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 194 | 135 | 0 | 40 | 78 | 52 | 0 | 86 | 66 | 74 | 50 | 108 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 329 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 0 |
| Turn Type | | NA | | | NA | | | NA | | | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 16.2 | | | 16.2 | | | 36.7 | | | 36.7 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 16.2 | | | 16.2 | | | 36.7 | | | 36.7 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.26 | | | 0.26 | | | 0.59 | | | 0.59 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 473 | | | 461 | | | 1039 | | | 1018 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | c0.18 | | | 0.08 | | | 0.07 | | | c0.12 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.70 | | | 0.31 | | | 0.13 | | | 0.20 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 20.6 | | | 18.4 | | | 5.5 | | | 5.8 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 4.4 | | | 0.4 | | | 0.2 | | | 0.5 | |
| Delay (s) | | 25.0 | | | 18.8 | | | 5.8 | | | 6.3 | |
| Level of Service | | С | | | В | | | A | | | A | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 25.0 | | | 18.8 | | | 5.8 | | | 6.3 | |
| Approach LOS | | С | | | В | | | А | | | А | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 15.6 | Н | CM 2000 | Level of | Service | | В | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit | ty ratio | | 0.35 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 61.9 | S | um of los | t time (s) | | | 9.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | on | | 7.9% | IC | CU Level | of Service |) | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

- 11 -

APPENDIX C



Haldimand County Caledonia East-West Route Analysis and Evaluation

| TECHNICAL CRITERIA | Collector Road Alternative A1 | Collector Road Alternative A2 | Collector Road Alternative A3 | Arterial Road Alternative B |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Transportation | | | | |
| Traffic Operations at Intersections and Level of Service | Intersections operate at LOS C or better in the AM and PM peak hours. | Intersections operate at LOS C or better in the AM and PM peak hours. | Intersections operate at LOS C or better in the AM and PM peak hours. | Intersections operate at LOS C or better in the AM and PM peak hours. |
| Travel Time Savings from Beattie Subdivision to Argyle Street and Highway 6 (via Highway 6) [AM(PM)] | NB: 0:06 min (0:04 min) SB: 0:04 min (0:51 min) | NB: 0:58 min (0:53 min) SB: 0:43 min (1:32 min) | NB: 0:51 min (0:46 min) SB: 0:29 min (1:25 min) | NB: 1:20 min (1:06 min) SB: 1:11 min (1:39 min) |
| Number of Driveways | 82 | 30 | | 0 |
| Number of Intersections | | | | 5 |
| Network Connectivity | Provides an east-west connection between Argyle Street and McKenzie Road. | Provides an east-west connection between Argyle Street and McKenzie Road. | Provides an east-west connection between Argyle Street and McKenzie Road. Access to the Beattie Estates subdivision is discontinuous from Argyle Street. | Provides an east-west connection between Argyle Street and River Road. |
| Summary | lacksquare | | | |
| Natural and Social Environment | | | | |
| Natural Environment | Requires minimal to no clearing of vegetation (not designated as a Core Natural Area). | Requires clearing of vegetation (not designated as a Core Natural Area). | Requires clearing of vegetation (not designated as a Core Natural Area). | Requires clearing of vegetation (not designated as a Core Natural Area). May impact a watercourse identified south of the border of the developable lands. |

PED-PD-29-2018, Attachment 2

Haldimand County Caledonia East-West Route Analysis and Evaluation

| Impacts on Existing Properties | No impacts on existing properties. | May impact two existing properties on the northeast and northwest corner of the McKenzie Road and 6 th Line intersection (likely mitigated). | No impacts on existing properties. | Significant impacts to one property on the east side of McKenzie Road at the intersection with 6 th Line. Requires complete property acquisition for new road. |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Summary | | \bullet | \bullet | \bullet |
| Engineering | | | | |
| Cost and Cost Sharing | 1.2 km constructed as part of subdivision. 1 km constructed outside of subdivision (DC funded). | 0.8 km constructed as part of subdivision. 1.5 km constructed outside of subdivision (DC funded). | 0.8 km constructed as part of subdivision. 1.5 km constructed outside of subdivision (DC funded). | 3.0 km constructed outside of subdivision (DC funded). |
| Development | | | | |
| Compatibility with Urban Boundary | New road is within the Urban Boundary. | New road is within the Urban Boundary. | New road is within the Urban Boundary. | New road extends south and east of the Urban Boundary. |
| Compatibility with Subdivision Plans | Requires modification to the McKenzie subdivision plans to accommodate new road. Does not require modification to the Beattie Estates subdivision plans. | Does not require modification to the McKenzie subdivision plans. Requires minor modifications to the Beattie Estates subdivision plans to reduce the number of intersections with the new road. | Does not require modification to the proposed McKenzie or Beattie Estates subdivision plans. | Does not require modification to the proposed McKenzie or Beattie Estates subdivision plans. |
| Ability to Serve Intended Purpose | May result in the need for traffic calming through McKenzie subdivision and serve as a residential road rather than collector. | May result in the need for traffic calming unless subdivision is redesigned to accommodate collector role (e.g. minimize intersections). | May result in the need for traffic calming unless subdivision is redesigned to accommodate collector role (e.g. minimize intersections). | Provides a direct east-west route from Argyle Street to River Road and serves as an arterial road. |
| Summary | | | | |

PED-PD-29-2018, Attachment 2

Haldimand County Caledonia East-West Route Analysis and Evaluation

| Overall Summary | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Summary | \bullet | | \bullet | ullet |
| Recommendation | Not Recommended | Recommended | Not Recommended | Not Recommended |

| | | | ightarrow | \bigcirc |
|------------------------------|------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| Excellent (Most Positive) | Good | Moderate | Poor | Very Poor (Least Positive) |