From: Joann Dick
Sent: Thursday, June 17, 2021 2:36 PM
To: Ashley Taylor
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Fwd: Notice of Online Public Meeting

Hi Ashley,

Please accept this email with respect to the applications PLOP-HA-2020-191/PLZ-HA-2020-192.

My mother Jackie Bosak and I are against the amended proposal to add a special policy in the OP and special provision in the zoning by-law to permit a new seasonal dwelling.

The by-law clearly states that the vacant lot must have access and frontage onto an open public road, which is not the case as Hoto Line is a private road and has been since the 1950's.

I would appreciate it if you could provide the history of the subject lands for Council. It is my understanding that the Medima family applied for a land severance many years ago in hopes that their son would be able to build a home there, this was not supported by Haldimand and

as such the property was sold and again the subsequent owners were denied building privileges.

Please make this information available for public record and the reasons why nothing has been allowed on the subject lands before now.

The facts and recommendations were clearly detailed in the planner's report that the **by-laws should not be passed.** 

When did Council start amending by-laws based on the age of the applicants with a young family, their time, money spent, etc.

This was mentioned over and over during the initial meeting, it is not professional, not relevant and emotions should not be a deciding factor in the application.

Emergency Services voiced concerns over the access, condition and maintenance of Hoto Line, therefore why would Council increase the number of seasonal residences.

The south side of Lot 25 recently sold so the number of seasonal residences has already increased.

The County Policy further provides that emergency vehicles must be able to access and exit the area.

Hoto Line is not constructed to municipal standards, does not provide an emergency turnaround and does not have a secondary emergency access.

Will Haldimand Council enforce that the applicants maintain seasonal living only?

Amending by-laws for favours / convenience is not an acceptable business practice and will only set a precedent for similar situations in the future.

The application for a new seasonal dwelling should fail.

Regards,

Jo-Ann Dick and Jackie Bosak